1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

2	Costan	filad	ita	Answer	on	Inno	20	2010
2.	Cosico	mea	ILS	Allswei	OH	June	Ζυ,	2019

- 3. On September 9, 2019, Plaintiff filed a Request for Exemption from Arbitration detailing \$20,686.21 in medical expenses, an additional \$16,515.84 in wage loss damages and general and special damages arguing that she "suffered personal injuries such that a reasonable jury award would far exceed the \$50,000 statutory limit for the arbitration program."
- 4. On September 23, 2019, the Arbitration Commissioner granted the request for exemption.
- 5. On September 25, 2019, Costco filed its Notice of Removal in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, based on diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332(a)(1).
- 6. At the time of the filing of the notice of removal, there was complete diversity in this matter as Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Nevada and Costco is a citizen of the State of Washington and the amount in controversy appeared to be in excess of \$75,000.00.
- 7. Subsequently, Plaintiff and Costco have discussed her claim for damages in greater detail. Plaintiff hereby stipulates, that the reasonable verdict value of this case does not meet or exceed \$75,000.00 and that she will not ask for more than \$74,999.99 at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that this Court no longer has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) for this matter and that this action should be remanded back to the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada in and for the County of Clark pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(e).

25

26

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that each party shall bear their own attorney's fees and costs with respect to the removal and subsequent remand of the action pursuant to this stipulation and order.

DATED this 15th day of October, 2019.

DATED this 15th day of October, 2019

BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN

Hicks & Brasier, PLLC

By: Edgar Carranza, Esq.
Edgar Carranza, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 5902
Jacquelyn Franco, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 13484
3050 South Durango Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Attorney for Defendant
COSTCO WHOLESALE

CORPORATION

By: Scott Philippus, Esq.
Scott Philippus, Esq
Nevada Bar No.
Hicks & Brasier, PLLC
2630 S. Jones Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Attorney for Plaintiff
ANA ROSE ENRIQUEZ

ORDER

This Court having reviewed the parties' Stipulation to Remand this removed action and good cause appearing, hereby orders as follows:

- 1. The parties' stipulation is approved;
- 2. There are no pending motions;
- 3. Case No. 2:19-cv-01676-JCM-EJY styled *Ana Rose Enriquez v. Costco Wholesale Corporation*, et. al. is hereby remanded to the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada, Case No. A-19-794714-C;
- 4. That the reasonable verdict value of this case does not meet or exceed \$75,000.00 and that Plaintiff will not ask for more than \$74,999.99 at the time of trial; and

3